#### Multiple Documents

#### **Part Description**

- 1 16 pages
- 2 Civil Cover Sheet
- 3 Summons
- 4 Summons
- 5 Summons
- 6 Summons

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROBERT C. TAYLOR,	
8504 Cory Drive	
Bowie, MD 20720	

on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiffs,

vs. : Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION 800 Independence Avenue SW Washington D.C. 20591

Serve:

Michael P. Huerta, Administrator Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue SW Washington D.C. 20591

Attorney General of the : United States : United States Department of Justice : 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW : Washington, DC 20530 :

United States Attorney for the District of Columbia 555 4<sup>th</sup> St., NW Washington, DC, 20530

and

MICHAEL P. HUERTA, as Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue SW Washington D.C. 20591

Defendants.

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Robert C. Taylor, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by counsel, Carr Maloney P.C., brings this Class Action against Defendants Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") and Michael P. Huerta, in his official capacity as Administrator of the FAA, and states as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff is a natural person and a citizen of the United States, residing in the state of Maryland.
- 2. Defendant FAA is part of the United States Department of Transportation and is located at 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington D.C. 20591. Defendant Huerta serves as the Administrator of the FAA.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter because it involves federal questions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This is also a civil action arising under the United States Constitution. Furthermore, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Plaintiff brings this Complaint on behalf of a nationwide class, and at least one Class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendants. The Class consists of at least 836,796 Class members and the matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the FAA and Huerta are located in the District of Columbia, and the FAA regularly conducts business in this District. Moreover, a substantial part of the events asserted in this Complaint occurred and continues to occur in this District. Venue is also proper pursuant to 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(g)(5).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 5. In 2012, Congress passed the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012, 126 Stat. 11. The Act provided that the FAA could not "promulgate any rule or regulation regarding model aircraft" that meet certain criteria described in the Act. Pub. L. 112-95, § 336(a).
- 6. Section 336(c) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 defines a "Model Aircraft" as an "Unmanned Aircraft" that is "(1) capable of sustained flight in the atmosphere; (2) flown within visual line of sight of the person operating the aircraft; and (3) flown for hobby or recreational purposes."
- 7. On December 16, 2015, despite Congress's explicit prohibition against rulemaking involving Model Aircraft, the FAA promulgated the Registration and Marking Requirements for Small Unmanned Aircraft (the "Registration Rule"). The Registration Rule required that beginning December 21, 2015, owners of Model Aircraft operated for hobby or recreational purposes must register with the FAA. 80 Fed. Reg. 78593.
- 8. As part of the registration under the Registration Rule, owners of Model Aircraft were required to provide the FAA with personal information including their names, email addresses, and home addresses. They also had to pay a \$5.00 registration fee. Model Aircraft Owners who did not register were subject to three years in prison and fines of up to \$250,000. 80 Fed. Reg. 78593, 78630.

https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-12-16/pdf/2015-31750.pdf

9. In fact, the FAA threatened that failing to register would result in civil penalties up to \$27,500 and criminal penalties up to \$250,000 and three years in prison. https://web.archive.org/web/20151224033152/www.faa.gov/uas/registration/faqs

- 10. Plaintiff is a Model Aircraft hobbyist who owns multiple Model Aircraft and uses them for hobby or recreational purposes.
- 11. Following the effective date of the Registration Rule, Plaintiff registered his Model Aircraft with the FAA, provided the required personal information, and paid the \$5.00 registration fee.
- 12. No less than 836,796 owners of Model Aircraft registered their Model Aircraft for hobby or recreational purposes from December 21, 2015 through November 14, 2017. http://dronecenter.bard.edu/drone-registrations.
- On May 19, 2017, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ("D.C. Circuit") vacated the Registration Rule "to the extent that it applies to Model Aircraft," because the Registration Rule was among those actions specifically prohibited by § 336(a). *Taylor v. Huerta*, 856 F.3d 1089, 1090, 1094 (D.C. Cir. 2017). "The FAA's 2015 Registration Rule, which applies to model aircraft, directly violates that clear statutory prohibition." *Id.* at 1090. Thus, the registrations that were based upon the promulgation of the invalid and vacated Registration Rule, had no lawful existence.
- 14. Also on May 19, 2017, the FAA issued a press release stating that the FAA will "continue to encourage registration for all drone operators," despite the D.C. Circuit's decision vacating the Registration Rule and making clear that the FAA had no authority to promulgate the Registration Rule. https://www.faa.gov/news/press\_releases/news\_story.cfm?newsId=21674
- 15. Despite the judicial vacatur of the regulation establishing the FAA's model aircraft registry, the FAA did not delete Plaintiff's personal information from the registry or refund his \$5.00 registration fee. Nor did the FAA delete the other registered owners of Model Aircrafts' personal information or return their registration fees.

- 16. Though it did not voluntarily delete the now clearly-unlawful registry, the FAA ultimately did create a form whereby registrants could seek to delete their registrations and receive a refund. However, the FAA did not distribute the form to registrants through their email or physical addresses on file with the FAA, or take other reasonable steps to make registrants aware of the process. Further, the form, without lawful justification, required registrants to make certifications as to how they "always" operate their Model Aircraft, and to provide personal banking information. The form is no longer available on the FAA website.
- 17. On December 12, 2017, President Donald Trump signed the National Defense Authorization Act. Section 1092(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act states that "The rules adopted by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration in the matter of registration and marking requirements for small unmanned aircraft (FAA-2015-7396; published on December 16, 2015) that were vacated by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in *Taylor v. Huerta* (No. 15-1495; decided on May 19, 2017) shall be restored to effect on the date of enactment of this Act."<sup>1</sup>
- 18. The National Defense Authorization Act, by its terms, is not retroactive. Therefore, any personal information and registration fees collected from owners of Model Aircraft pursuant to the Registration Rule between December 21, 2015 and December 11, 2017, was, and remains, unlawful.
- 19. The FAA's actions were willful or intentional. Section 336(a) clearly prohibited the promulgation of the Registration Rule. As the court noted, "[s]tatutory interpretation does not get much simpler." *Taylor*, 856 F.3d at 1092. The FAA knew, or should have known, that its actions were unlawful, but proceeded to act in willful and flagrant violation of the rights of hundreds of thousands of Model Aircraft hobbyists. The FAA's actions were so patently

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff and the Class do not concede that the National Defense Authorization Act is valid.

egregious and unlawful that anyone undertaking the conduct should have known it to be unlawful. The FAA's actions were committed without grounds for believing them to be lawful, and in flagrant disregard of the rights of those whom it unlawfully registered. Nor did the FAA relent when the Registration Rule was challenged in court. Lastly, despite an order of the Court of Appeals vacating the Regulation Rule to the extent that it applied to Model Aircraft, the FAA did not refund their \$5.00 registration fees and continued not only to maintain, but to build upon its unlawful registry, knowing it to be illegal. In addition, the FAA's unlawful, and uncirculated, "deregistration" process further reveals the intentional and willful nature of the FAA's efforts to maintain its unlawful registry.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

20. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated individuals pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The proposed class is as follows:

All owners of Model Aircraft who registered with the FAA for hobby or recreational purposes from December 21, 2015 through December 11, 2017.

- 21. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all individual plaintiffs would be impracticable. Plaintiff avers that the class consists of at least 836,796 Class members, the number of individuals who registered Model Aircraft for hobby or recreational purposes as of November 14, 2017. The precise number of Class members is known by the FAA and can be ascertained through its own records.
- 22. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. All members of the Class have been subject to and affected by the same course of unlawful conduct. In violation of § 336(a) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012, the FAA unlawfully registered Class members,

and in doing so, the FAA unlawfully collected and maintained personal information about Class members and unlawfully collected the same registration fees.

- 23. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, in that they arise from the same operative facts and course of conduct, are based on the same legal theories, and based upon the FAA's violation of § 336(a) of the Modernization and Reform Act of 2012. In violating § 336(a), the FAA unlawfully registered Class members, and in doing so, the FAA unlawfully collected and maintained personal information about Class members and unlawfully collected the Class members' registration fees.
- 24. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class. Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has no interest antagonistic to those of other Class members. Plaintiff has secured counsel experienced in handling class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the claims in this lawsuit.
- 25. A class action is superior to other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy under Rule 23(b)(3). The expense and burden of individual litigation would make it impracticable or impossible for Class members to prosecute their claims individually. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against the FAA is relatively small, and such complex individual litigation against the federal government, who has unlimited resources, would be cost prohibitive if the suits were prosecuted individually. Further, such numerous individual suits (perhaps a number approaching one million or more) would burden the court system. Management of the Class's claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties and is in the best interest of the Class members, judicial economy, and the court system.

- 26. Class certification is also appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) given that the FAA acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole. Despite a clear ruling by the D.C. Circuit Court that the FAA violated § 336(a) of the Modernization and Reform Act of 2012, the FAA continued to collect and did not return registration fees, and did not delete the unlawfully collected personal information.
- 27. Furthermore, this action should be maintained as a class action because the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the parties opposing the Class, as well as a risk of adjudications with respect to individual members which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impended their ability to protect their interests.

#### COUNT I: VIOLATION OF THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974, 5.U.S.C.A. § 552a

- 28. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-27 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. The Privacy Act mandates that agencies that maintain a "system of records" must "maintain in its records only such information about an individual as is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required to be accomplished by statute or by executive order of the President." 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(e)(1).
- 30. Plaintiff and the Class meet the definition of an "individual" within the meaning of 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(a)(2).

- 31. The FAA is an agency that must comply with 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(e)(1) in its maintenance of records.
- 32. The personal information of Plaintiff and the Class collected and maintained by the FAA is a "system of records" within the meaning of 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(a)(5). Moreover, the Plaintiff and the Class's personal information was incorporated into a "system of records."
- 33. The D.C. Circuit ruled that the FAA lacked statutory authority to promulgate the Registration Rule and thus vacated the Registration Rule. *Taylor v. Huerta*, 856 F.3d 1089, 1093-94 (D.C. Cir. 2017). As such, the FAA lacked authority to collect registration fees from Plaintiff and the Class and to collect from and maintain their personal information.
- 34. Thus, the maintenance of a "system of records" for Plaintiff and the Class from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 was not only not relevant or necessary, but it was unlawful. Accordingly, the FAA violated 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(e)(1) by promulgating the Registration Rule and maintaining a registry of Model Aircraft owners from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 that was not relevant or necessary to accomplish a purpose required to be accomplished by statute or by executive order of the President.
- 35. Section 552a(g)(1)(D) provides that a civil action can be brought when an agency "fails to comply with any other provision of this section, or any rule promulgated thereunder, in such a way as to have an adverse effect on an individual."
- 36. Plaintiff and the Class can bring and maintain an action for civil remedies against Defendants under 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(g)(1)(D) for the FAA's failure to comply with 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(e)(1).
- 37. Plaintiff and the Class experienced adverse effects due to the FAA's violation of 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(e)(1), including actual damages. The damages suffered by Plaintiff and other

Class members included pecuniary harm. The FAA charged Plaintiff and the Class a \$5.00 fee to collect and unlawfully maintain information about Plaintiff and other Class members in the FAA's system of records. In addition, the FAA deprived Plaintiff and other Class members of use of those funds.

- 38. Plaintiff and the Class do not need to exhaust their administrative remedies before bringing this claim under 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(g)(1)(D).
- 39. The FAA acted willfully and intentionally within the meaning of 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(g)(4) because it knew or should have known that it lacked the statutory authority for promulgating the Registration Rule, but it did so anyway. Further, once the D.C. Circuit vacated the Registration Rule, the FAA did not delete the personal information of Plaintiff and the Class members and did not refund their registration fees. In addition, the FAA continued the registration process even after the D.C. Circuit held that the FAA was prohibited from doing so.
- 40. Plaintiff and the Class members are each entitled to statutory damages in a sum of no less than \$1,000 plus attorneys' fees and costs. 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(g)(4).

#### COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE LITTLE TUCKER ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 1346

- 41. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-40 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. The Little Tucker Act waives sovereign immunity for Plaintiff and the Class to bring this cause of action and this lawsuit against the federal government.
- 43. Plaintiff and the Class do not need to exhaust their administrative remedies before bringing this claim and lawsuit under the Little Tucker Act.
- 44. Courts routinely recognize illegal exaction claims when the government takes money from individuals in violation of a statute.

- 45. Plaintiff and the Class bring this claim under the Little Tucker Act for the Defendants' illegal exaction of \$5.00 registration fees and personal information from at least 836,7960 owners of Model Aircraft between December 21, 2015 and December 11, 2017, despite the lack of statutory authority to do so given the D.C. Circuit's decision in *Taylor v. Huerta*.
- 46. As set forth above, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages and are each entitled to damages of not more than \$10,000 each under 28 U.S.C. § 1346(a)(2).

#### **COUNT III: CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION**

- 47. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-46 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants have a duty to Plaintiff and the Class to act in a manner consistent with their constitutional rights and to not deprive them of those rights.
- 49. Plaintiff and the Class have a right to be free from acts and omissions of Defendants that deprive Plaintiff and the Class of rights protected by the Constitution of the United States, including privacy rights guaranteed by the Constitution.
- 50. Plaintiff and the Class have a right to the protections afforded to them through the process of judicial review under Article 3 of the Constitution of the United States, which declared the FAA's Registration Rule unlawful.
- 51. Plaintiff and the Class have a right not to be subject to the requirements of the Registration Rule from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017, which was declared unlawful by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- 52. Plaintiff and the Class have a right to be free from civil and criminal penalties for their failure to comply with a regulation that has been declared unlawful by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- 53. The Defendants violated Plaintiff and the Class's Constitutional and privacy rights by unlawfully promulgating the Registration Rule and enforcing the Registration Rule without any statutory authority to do so. Further, once the D.C. Circuit vacated the Registration Rule, the Defendants did not delete the private and personal information of Model Aircraft owners and did not refund their registration fees. In addition, the Defendants unlawfully continued the registration process and unlawfully maintained Plaintiff and the Class's private and personal information even after the D.C. Circuit held that the Defendants were prohibited from doing so.
  - 54. Plaintiff and the Class have exhausted any administrative remedies.
- 55. As a result of the Defendants' violation of Plaintiff and the Class's Constitutional and privacy rights, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages.

#### **COUNT IV: UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

- 56. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-55 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. Plaintiff and the Class conferred a benefit to the Defendants through providing them their personal information and over \$4,183,980 in ill-gotten registration fees.
- 58. The Defendants knowingly accepted and retained the benefit as they unlawfully maintained a registry of Plaintiff and the Class's personal information and collected over \$4,183,980 in registration fees in violation of the law.

- 59. Given the D.C. Circuit's decision which declared that the Registration Rule was unlawful, it would be unjust for the Defendants to retain the personal information of over 836,796 owners of Model Aircraft and over \$4,183,980 in registration fees.
- 60. The Defendants should not be permitted to maintain the personal information and registration fees of Plaintiff and owners of Model Aircraft from December 21, 2015 until December 11, 2017 because the Defendants unlawfully and unjustly received them as a result of its unlawful actions described herein.
- 61. As set forth above, the Defendants have waived sovereign immunity for this lawsuit.
- 62. Notwithstanding statutory damages for other claims, Plaintiff and the Class also seek restitution in an additional amount of no less than \$4,183,980 for the Defendants' unjust enrichment, as well as interest and attorneys' fees and costs.

#### **COUNT V: DECLARATORY RELIEF**

- 63. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-62 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. There exists an actual controversy between Plaintiff and the Class, and the Defendants.
- 65. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, this Court may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested parties seeking a declaration. Any such declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment.
- 66. The Registration Rule from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 was unlawful. Therefore, the no less than 836,796 registrations of owners of Model Aircraft for

hobby or recreational purposes during the period of December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 were unlawful.

- 67. Thus, the collection and maintenance of personal information about Plaintiff and Class members and the collection of their registration fees from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 was unlawful.
- 68. The Defendants violated Plaintiff and the Class's Constitutional and privacy rights by unlawfully promulgating the Registration Rule and enforcing the Registration Rule from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017.
- 69. The FAA violated the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C.A. §552a(e)(1) by maintaining a registry of owners of Model Aircraft from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 that was not relevant or necessary to accomplish a purpose required to be accomplished by statute or by executive order of the President. Further, it was unlawful. As such, Plaintiff and the Class are each entitled to statutory damages from the FAA in a sum of no less than \$1,000 plus attorneys' fees and costs. Moreover, Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to restitution damages from the Defendants in the amount of no less than \$4,183,980 for the FAA's unjust enrichment of registration fees.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and the Class request a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for an Order as follows:

A. Finding that this action satisfies the prerequisites for maintenance as a class action and certifying the Class defined herein;

- B. Designating Plaintiff as the representative of the Class and undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;
- C. Declaring that the Registration Rule from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 was unlawful;
- D. Declaring that there were no less than 836,796 registrations of owners of Model Aircraft for hobby or recreational purposes during the period of December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017, which were unlawful;
- E. Declaring that the collection and maintenance of personal information about Plaintiff and Class members and the collection of their registration fees from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017 was unlawful;
- F. Declaring that the Defendants violated Plaintiff and the Class's Constitutional and privacy rights by unlawfully promulgating the Registration Rule and enforcing the Registration Rule from December 21, 2015 to December 11, 2017;
- G. Declaring that the FAA violated §552a(e)(1) of the Privacy Act and that Plaintiff and the Class are each entitled to an award of no less than \$1,000 plus attorneys' fees and costs;
- H. Declaring that the Defendants violated the Little Tucker Act and that Plaintiff and the Class are each entitled to an award of up to \$10,000;
- I. Declaring that Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to restitution damages from the Defendants in the amount of no less than \$4,183,980 for the Defendants' unjust enrichment of registration fees;
- J. Ordering the deletion of records collected and maintained by the Defendants regarding Plaintiff and the Class collected between December 21, 2015 and December 11, 2017;
  - K. Entering judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the Class against Defendants;

- L. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class a sum of no less than \$836,796,000 for violations of the Privacy Act and the Little Tucker Act, and a sum of no less than \$4,183,980 for the ill-gotten registration fees;
- M. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class attorneys' fees and costs, including interest, as allowed or required by law;
  - N. Granting all further and other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

ROBERT C. TAYLOR By Counsel

Carr Maloney P.C.

/s/ Matthew D. Berkowitz
Thomas L. McCally #391937
Matthew D. Berkowitz #974170
J. Peter Glaws #1013049
M. Therese Waymel #1024663
Carr Maloney P.C.
2020 K Street, NW, Suite 850
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 310-5500 – phone
(202) 310-5555 – fax
tlm@carrmaloney.com
mb@carrmaloney.com
ipg@carrmaloney.com
mtw@carrmaloney.com

# Case 1:18-cv-00035-APM Document 1-1 Filed 01/05/18 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				D	EFENDA	NTS					
Robert C. Taylor 8504 Cory Drive Bowie, MD 20720			Fe W Ad	DEFENDANTS Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20591; and Michael P. Huerta, as Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20591							
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENC		STED PLAINTIFF		-					ED DEFENDANT	AND INVOLV	ED
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	ME, ADDRESS	, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)		A	TTORNEYS						
	l, Carr Mal	D. Berkowitz, J. Peter oney P.C., 2020 K St C 20006									
II. BASIS OF JURISD (PLACE AN x IN ONE BO									S (PLACE AN x IN ONE FERSITY CASES ONLY!	BOX FOR	DFT
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		deral Question S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen o	of this	State	O 1	<b>O</b> 1		ated or Principal Place	O 4	O 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	(In	versity dicate Citizenship of rties in item III)			ther State	O 2	<b>O</b> 2		ated and Principal Place ess in Another State	<b>O</b> 5	<b>O</b> 5
	1 4.	,	Citizen o Foreign	Count	ry	<b>O</b> 3	<b>O</b> 3	Foreign 1	Nation	<b>O</b> 6	<b>O</b> 6
(Place an X i	n one catego	IV. CASE ASSIG				_			onding Nature of Sui	t)	
O A. Antitrust	O B. P	ersonal Injury/ alpractice	0		Admini Review				O D. Tempora. Order/Pre	ry Resti eliminai	
330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability			cial Se	151 Medicare Act  Cial Security  861 HIA (1395ff)  862 Black Lung (923)  863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  864 SSID Title XVI  865 RSI (405(g))  her Statutes  891 Agricultural Acts  893 Environmental Matters  890 Other Statutory Actions (If Administrative Agency is Involved)  Any nature of suit from any category of case assignment.  *(If Antitrust, then A governs)*			ory of				
O E. General Civi	l (Other)	OR		0	F. Pro	Se Gen	eral Ci	ivil	-		
Real Property 210 Land Condemns 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent, Lease & E 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Li 290 All Other Real I  Personal Property 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal Damage 385 Property Damag Product Liabilit	Ejectment ability Property g Property ge	Bankruptcy  422 Appeal 27 USC 1  423 Withdrawal 28 U  Prisoner Petitions  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Ot  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  660 Civil Detainee – Of Confinement  Property Rights  820 Copyrights  830 Patent  835 Patent – Abbrevi Drug Application  840 Trademark	her s Condition		870	Tax Suits Taxes (U defendar IRS-Thin 7609  re/Penalty Drug Re Property Other  statutes Galle Tam 3729(a)) State Re Banks & Commer Rates/etc Deportat	S plaintint nt) rd Party Y lated Sei 2 21 USC aims Act a (31 USC apportic Banking cce/ICC	26 USC zure of 881	462 Naturalizat Application 465 Other Imm Actions 470 Racketeer I & Corrupt 480 Consumer ( 490 Cable/Satel 850 Securities/C Exchange 896 Arbitration 899 Administra Act/Review Agency Dec 950 Constitution Statutes 890 Other Statu (if not admire review or P	igration  nfluence Organiza Credit lite TV Commodi  tive Proc or Apperision nality of Section natity Actinistrativ	ties/ edure al of State ions e agency

#### Case 1:18-cv-00035-APM Document 1-1 Filed 01/05/18 Page 2 of 2

O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255  530 Habeas Corpus – General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	O H. Employment Discrimination  442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age,	● I. FOIA/Privacy Act  895 Freedom of Information Act  890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)	J. Student Loan  152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)		
	religion, retaliation)				
	*(If pro se, select this deck)*	*(If pro se, select this deck)*			
K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment)  710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment)  441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act)  443 Housing/Accommodations  440 Other Civil Rights  445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment  446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other  448 Education	M. Contract  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	N. Three-Judge Court  441 Civil Rights – Voting (if Voting Rights Act)		
V. ORIGIN					
O 1 Original Proceeding From State Court C					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.) Class action brought under the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 USCA §552a.					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instruction) YES	NO X If yes, p	lease complete related case form		
DATE: January 5, 2018	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REC	CORD			

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed <u>only</u> if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

Date:

AO 440 (Rev. 00/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.  Defendant(s)	) ) ) () ) () () () () () () () () () ()
CLIMMONIC	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an o P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you afficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of notion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the coun	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	ceived by me on (date)			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the indiv	ridual at (place)	
	r J		on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residen	ce or usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a	person of suitable age and discretion who resi	ides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a co	opy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process of	on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this inform	mation is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

AO 440 (Rev. 00/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
United Stati	ES DISTRICT COURT
I	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.	) ) ) ) ) ) Civil Action No. )
Defendant(s)	) ) )
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an	n you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you fficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of otion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. t.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	ıl at (place)		
			on (date)		
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	r usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a pers	son of suitable age and discretion who res	sides the	ere,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	o the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	chalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

AO 440 (Rev. 00/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.	) ) ) ) ) Civil Action No. )
Defendant(s)	. ) )
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an o P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of notion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the coun	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (nanceived by me on (date)				
was ic	·	·			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual			
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)		
			on of suitable age and discretion who res		ere,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	n is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

AO 440 (Rev. 00/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.	) ) ) ) — ) ) Civil Action No. ) ) ) )
Defendant(s)	)
SUMMO	NS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
are the United States or a United States agency, or a P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff	ns on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. f an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default v You also must file your answer or motion with the c	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if an	ıy)	
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		<u> </u>	
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)	
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion who resi	des there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	e	; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.	
Date:				
		_	Server's signature	
		_	Printed name and title	
		_	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: